

HURT TOWN COUNCIL 2026

MEETING MINUTES

MONTHLY BUSINESS MEETING

Date: March 3, 2026

Location: TOWN HALL 533 POCKET RD. HURT, VA

Time: 6 PM

Meeting called to order by: MAYOR GARY HODNETT

COUNCIL MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE ESTABLISHING A QUORUM

Steve Worley, Paul Johnson, Jimmy Harding, Alice Bond, Vice Mayor Bob Majure, Gary Poindexter

TOWN STAFF IN ATTENDANCE:

John Eller, Town Attorney

Marissa Padilla, Town Treasurer

Shannon Race, Town Clerk

Joe Smith, Public Works Director

Paul Watlington, Public Works Assistant

Jason Lovelace, Chief of Police

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Hodnett called meeting to order at 6:04 pm and presided.

CLOSED SESSION

Mayor Hodnett requested a motion to move into closed session.

- Council Member Poindexter moves that the council convene a closed session in accordance with Virginia Freedom of Information Act as amended. Siting Authorization: § 2.2-3711 A (1) Personnel, (3) Real Estate, (5) Prospective Business, (8) Legal Counsel (as applicable).
- Councilmember Johnson seconds the motion for the closed session.
- Clerk calls for individual votes on the motion to convene a closed session with Hurt Town Council voting 6-0 in favor of the closed session.
- The motion is passed, and the Hurt Town Council moves into a closed session at 6:05 pm.

CERTIFICATION OF CLOSED SESSION, INVOCATION AND PLEDGE

- Mayor Hodnett calls for motion to return and certify a closed session.
- Council Member Poindexter makes a motion for the certification of the closed meeting, citing the Virginia Freedom of Information Act (**Requirement: VA FOIA § 2.2-3712 (D)**)
- Mayor Hodnett calls for a second, which is given by Councilman Johnson, Hurt Council voted 6-0 in favor and approves the certification of the closed session.
- Councilman Worley leads the invocation.

WELCOME

Mayor welcomed the crowd and asked if anyone wanted to speak tonight, informing them to sign up on the list. No one in the audience signed up to speak.

CONSENT AGENDA

1. February Expenditures
2. 17th February Workshop & 26th February Called Meeting Minutes.
3. Additions to the Agenda – Flock Camera Discussion

Minimal discussion was made about the consent agenda.

Council Action Taken Councilman Harding made a motion to approve the consent agenda as presented, seconded by Councilmember Bond, and the Hurt Town Council voted in favor 6-0, and approved the March 3, 2026, Consent Agenda as presented.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were none given on this date.

New Business

1. Avio Local Performance Agreement – there was no discussion tonight as this item had already been dealt with.
2. Plaza Update – Matt Astrin will be calling in at the next town council meeting to discuss options and pricing.
3. State Mandate Update
 - a. Town is considering all the options we have available with Bond Counsel
 - b. State is considering if we will be required to stay under the state mandate /consent order.
 - i. John Eller stated that since we've had clean reports for 2 years, we may be able to get out from under the mandate. We still have pumps and engineering things that need to be done, and we will need future funding for it.
 - ii. Councilman Johnson explained to the citizens that to stop the \$1 raise in water prices each year through 2029-2030, we must be able to come out from under the state mandate. All the equipment and water lines still must be repaired as required. We will still need to maintain our systems but not have to follow the state mandate plan to achieve the repairs and upkeep of our system.

TOWN STAFF DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS

- Treasurer – Marissa Padilla
 - Completed everyone's W2's
 - All the 2025 1099's have been issued
 - Voya payments are being worked on to get everything up to date, all tabulations are almost done.
 - Paying invoices
 - Processed 1 FOIA request
- Clerk- Shannon Race
 - Still working on getting Southern Software updated with missing data in water and tax accounts
 - Working with DMV to do stops, there were 3 applications that had to be completed, and one was missing. She will be able to do better next year.
 - payment processing
 - Helping employees get the digital filing systems set up and working well
- Public Works- Joe Smith & Paul Watlington
 - Getting ready for billing at the end of the month
 - Working with engineers in Zoom meetings, going over the engineering plan operations
 - Talking with Peed and Bortz about the existing state mandate.
 - Repairing basic leaks and doing everyday paperwork

- Police Department- Chief Lovelace
 - Police Report was given to council
 - Calls for service – 7
 - School violations - 25
 - Miles driven – 2266 miles
 - Use of force – no incidents
 - Complaints – none
 - Department updates
 - Trash pick-up this Saturday March 7, 2026, 10am, Starting at the Hurt Methodist Church
 - Looking for volunteers, PD will provide equipment and supplies for safety
 - Fire department will be there; the road will be blocked but not completely shut off
 - Local Schools scholarship
 - \$500 scholarship was raised to give to kids from the local community
 - Scholarship applications were given to Gretna, Faith Christian Academy, and Altavista combined schools to pass out to students
 - The scholarship application deadline is March 15, 2026.
 - One scholarship application has already been received
 - A committee is established to review the applications
 - Chief Jason Lovelace
 - Vice Mayor Majure
 - A representative from the schools
 - A person from the community
 - Last year we sponsored a graduate of merit in Pittsylvania County. The Police Department has obtained funding through a donation to be able to award this again this year
 - Our goal is to show the youth we appreciate them and want them to come work with us
 - Office Markins and Chief Lovelace were certified in CPR and AED last month
 - Chief attended Taser Instructor training
 - I am a certified Taser Instructor and can train my officers
 - Shooting Range Discussion
 - Council was given a handout of documents for their review
 - Why we need one:
 - To be able to do required yearly training on the different forms of equipment that the police department obtains, more often
 - To be able to stay proficient with the equipment we use
 - Build confidence in our ability to use the equipment
 - It keeps us closer in town if we get a call
 - Where we will train
 - Property in the town of Hurt located near commercial property and is zoned industrial
 - What is needed
 - Layout & Design to keep users and community around it safe when it's used
 - The chosen location has a natural berm around it.
 - Increased backdrop to catch projectiles down lane
 - Shoot house to stage personal equipment
 - Storage building
 - Lighting

- How are we going to do this
 - Town council will need to approve the starting funding to begin the process
 - Road will have to be maintained, road is already in existence
 - We are preparing for economic development in the town of Hurt. This has been talked about and in the planning for 6 years.
- Estimated Initial Startup cost
 - \$1,200.00 for survey
 - A Special use permit because of the town zoning
- The police department has a donation of \$500 towards gravel
- Skid steer & excavator work is donated by two companies
- Utility Poles installed by utility company & be donated by engineer consultant for target setup.
- Future Needs
 - Shoot house
 - Light
 - Gravel
 - Dirt & sand barriers
 - Signage
 - Generator to run lighting
- Future Funding
 - Signage \$2,000
 - Generator \$1,000
 - Rock & Dirt \$10,500
 - 500 sq. ft. shoot house pole barn style \$25,000
- Goal for completion is 2031AD
 - Tonight, I'm requesting councils' approval for the process of getting started.

Councilman Johnson stated there are other buildings that would be a lot cheaper. Councilmember Bond inquired about the total estimate, which equals about \$40,000. This amount will be spread over 5 years. Councilman Worley asked Chief if he wants a concrete slab or gravel to which Chief stated concrete for where the storage will be. Chief discussed different types of designs with council. Councilman Harding asked if any ammunition will be stored at the range to which Chief stated nothing, but targets will be stored there. Vice Mayor Majure asked how many people would be able to train at one time, to which Chief replied, it will be based off what the survey says.

The shooting range will be opened to other local law enforcement officers however it will not be opened to the public. Officer training needs to be confidential in nature. Our police department's current training is done at Pittsylvania Counties range at the landfill. We can go to Altavista's' range on Pocket Rd. If we are doing training with the academy, we can use their range in Lynchburg. It's our goal to get past the DCJS minimum yearly requirements of training and be able to do more.

Road Maintenance agreement will have to be established with all who use the road. Right of Way will also need to be looked at. Discussion was held about where to put this expense and how to fund it. Council also discussed the future expenses. A capital expense line item specifically for this project was discussed for the next year and future budgets.

Council Action Taken Councilman Poindexter made the motion to authorize the Hurt Police Department to initiate the process that was discussed tonight for the shooting range and to appropriate approximately \$1,200 toward a land survey as part of that process seconded by

Councilman Harding and the Hurt Town Council voted in favor 6-0 and approved the shooting range land survey in the amount of \$1,200.

- Hurt Police Department Applied for 100% Local Law Enforcement Grant for equipment
 - The \$1,009 grant is for a gas mask
- The police department was awarded 100% scholarship for Officer Markins and Chief Lovelace to do auto theft training by the Virginia State Police.
- Taser 10 Equipment Presentation
 - Chief showed a 3-minute video explaining the uses, highlights, and perks of the taser 10 weapons.
 - A JAG Grant that is 75% -25% match was applied for to purchase the Taser System.
 - Original price of the system totaled approximately \$26,000 putting the town's 25% match at \$6,500.
 - New Negotiated price is \$24,340 with the 25% match being \$6,085.
 - Upon approval of the grant, the first payment would be \$18,000 of the grant money. The remaining balance of \$6,085 would be allowed to be paid over 4 years at \$1,521 each year.
 - The Taser 10 has 10 shots, shoots 40 feet.
 - The cost stated above includes a training suit, hook and loop system, targets, 4 new yellow duty weapon tasers, holster, training in-house virtual reality program, 1 white training taser, 1 headset
 - 1 day of support for setting the system up
- Our current Taser only has two shots. If we miss, we must manually unload and reload the shots. The software in our old taser equipment is no longer supported.
- Annual training is required to use the Taser 10 system.
- Council has 2-3 days to decide what they want to do so we can apply for the grant, we are eligible but not guaranteed money

Council discussed the first payment from the Town being in July 2026.

Axon and Taser are the same company. Axon provides bodycams for the Hurt Police Department. All Hurt PD bodycam digital footage is stored at evidence.com. It was discussed that the Taser is used as a deterrent to deescalate situations. Future contingency was discussed for a future officer being added to the force and having backups.

Council Action Taken Vice Mayor Majure made a motion to move forward with the plan to purchase the Taser 10 system contingent upon funding with the first payment from the town in the next fiscal year seconded Councilmember Bond and the Hurt Town Council voted in favor 6-0 and approved the motion to move forward with purchasing the Taser 10 system as presented.

- Legal Report- John Eller
 - The town's request to the general assembly was passed by in the senate today.

FLOCK CAMERA DISCUSSION

John Eller gave council members a copy of the Virginia State Code Section 2.25517 and the acts of assembly which give regulations for the Automatic Recognition of License Plate Software. (cameras)

It's important information for the town to understand regarding the laws on how data is to be handled in the state of Virginia. The data cannot be retained for more than 21 days after which it must be destroyed in a way that cannot be recovered.

Security must be provided by the service provider according to the Virginia Information Technology Agency. These provisions take precedence over what the company has in its contract. Provisions can't be waived. These statutes will give us a lot of protection.

Each vendor must be approved by the Department of General Services. The vendor must certify that they will not sell the data, they will provide security, and they will destroy the data in 21 days, or they can't operate in the state. Flock is on the registration list with Virginia Department of General Services.

Chief Lovelace presented a presentation on Automatic License Plate Readers (LPR).
Virginia Code Section 2.2-3517 ALPR Use by law enforcement agencies

LPR's are high speed cameras that turn license plates into searchable data used by law enforcement to compare against databases. It gives you images showing vehicles, date, time and location that are searchable meta data.

The purpose of the law is to establish strict limits on how the data can be used, data retention requirements, public reporting requirements, and civil liberty protections.

The data can only be used for criminal investigation with reasonable suspicion. Missing or endangered people, stolen vehicles, outstanding warrants, traffic cases, active alerts. No data can be viewed outside of these purposes.

All data must be deleted after 21 days and be promptly destroyed and unrecoverable. All trails can be retained for 2 yrs. then permanently destroyed. The only exception is ongoing court cases.

Vendors must certify with the Department of General Services as stated above by John Eller. Vendors must notify of any searches done by search warrants or 3rd party requests.

The data is owned by the law enforcement agency. It is not subject to Virginia FOIA. The data cannot be sold.

Each agency must have a written requirement and training procedure.

Annual reporting requirements are due by April 1 of each year. Agencies must report # of cameras, database searches, number of purposes, demographics, suspects, vehicle incidents, and 3rd party data requests. Reports to the Virginia State Police must be turned in to receive grants.

Penalties for the misuse include improper sharing of data, Chief Lovelace would lose both his job and his certification.

We are required to promote public awareness of the use of ALPR. If continued use of ALPR proceeds in our community, I will inform people this is what they are, what they are for and why they are here.

This law does not cover traffic enforcement cameras, speed detectors, parking tolls, DMV weigh stations, and non-criminal justice uses.

The Key takeaway: Virginia's ALPR Statute is built around strict purposes, limitations, 21-day retention of data, strong vendor controls, mandatory audits, transparency, civil liberty protections, criminal penalties for misuse.

Who has these things in Virginia? 159 out of 251 municipalities in Virginia are using ALPRs. This comes from the 2026 report from the Virginia Crime Commission. 80% of the users are large agencies. 35% are small agencies according to the 2024 report. 86% or 137 of the Virginia agencies out of the 159 Virginia agencies are using Flock Cameras.

Who has access to the Town of Hurt? Chief Lovelace. My access is password protected. I have administrator rights. I can grant access to outside agencies upon requests. Officer Markins has password protected access but cannot grant access to the data.

Who manages our system? Chief Lovelace and the Town of Hurt.

How are the cameras used in the Town of Hurt? It captures vehicle identification when it passes. Color, make, model, distinguishing features, logs the time, logs the date, and location of the vehicle. If the Hurt Police Department needs information about the image captured, we must call dispatch in Pittsylvania

County. The picture gives no information as to who was driving the vehicle. We can request who the vehicle is registered with. It tells us vehicle description and direction it was driving. If we want any further information, Chief Lovelace must call Pittsylvania County Dispatch, and I must give the plate information to obtain personal information. I must also have reasonable suspicion to do so. At no time is anyone's identifiable information included in the Flock System. We can request information from a hot list, but case numbers are required for this access.

ALPR cannot track a vehicle; it only takes a snapshot of at an exact point in time. They cannot track movements.

2025-2026 I was able to obtain a \$10k HEAT Grant for the Town to have these cameras for \$0.20. For FY 2026-2027 I will re-apply for that HEAT Grant if council wishes me to do so. Anyone who has insurance on their vehicles is paying for the cameras. HEAT gets money from the insurance companies to offer rewards. If there is money leftover at the end of a year, this is where the grant money comes from. The \$10k gives us 3 cameras. This is the reason we try to get more grants.

John said, Bob Majure found one thing that made him want to stop using Flock. Council will have to decide if they want to stop using Flock and use another service. According to the contract, you can deactivate the cameras, but you can't terminate the contract prematurely without being in breach. Town must inform Flock they are not going to renew 30 days before the contract expires. The contract was signed by both parties on August 19, 2025. We must inform Flock of our wish to terminate the contract by July 18, 2026. It would be better to notify Flock a couple weeks earlier.

Axon provides these services. The company we get tasers from. Motorola is also on the list of certified vendors. These services are very widely used.

Councilman Johnson said he read every single page of the document from John. Section 9 Terms and Conditions at the very bottom it says, Flock will not be liable for loss of data or the cost of procurement of substitute items for services. This section 9 is provided to the extent by law. To him that was a get out of jail free if they lose your data. John said no, your damage will not be more than what you paid them (\$10K). The damage for the breach but customers, they are not limited like that. That's one of the things that is not fair.

Councilman Johnson said on the privacy section best he could understand, on the bottom corner there is a 2-13 with a note out to the side that says personal information collection that is the Town of Hurt. Attorney Eller said, "Right."

Councilman Johnson then pointed out the paragraph, "in case you change your mind or wish to update your personal information, we will make reasonable efforts to correct or remove your information in compliance with applicable laws. They are not guaranteeing it. They say they will make reasonable efforts. Going back to what I said a couple weeks ago, we are responsible for 1260 people in the town of Hurt. Their personal information. He wants something a little bit better than a reasonable effort.

Well, that's Town of Hurt's personal information, not the citizens, stated John Eller. He explained it's not everybody in the town. The customer is the Town government.

Chief Lovelace explained it would only be his information that Flock has. Councilman Johnson said, unless they get it through Jason's email. Moving further along to page 7-13 in addition personal data may be disclosed in the context of a corporate transaction including a merger, acquisition, restructuring, or sale of assets. Where such data is the transfer of such business part transactions. I'm concerned that something will happen like the woman who bought the DNA kit, then that data was sold to another woman.

Attorney Eller explained to Councilman Johnson that if they were to carry out a merger, they refer to the customers (Town of Hurt Government).

Councilman Johnson went to section 9-13 linked sites. Reading please be advised that this website may contain 3rd party links that are not under the control of Flock. Flock is not responsible for the privacy practices of any linked site or any link on their site.

Chief responded to Councilman Johnson that when he goes to Flock, he goes directly to then and not any links.

Councilman Johnson stated you don't, Flock does. They store data on Amazon. For encryption they use T136. FedEx was hacked as the data was sent from server to server.

Chief Lovelace responded to Councilman Johnson, that the only data that is there is my data. No other person's data is there.

Councilman Johnson talked about a multibillion company that got hacked by an email describing how the event took place. One pdf that looked perfect was opened, and that whole system was taken that quickly. I have nothing against license plate readers; I just don't like Flock's business practices. I would love to see if Axiom can give us a package deal.

Chief Lovelace responds to council that the code puts provisions in for this cause. Flock has the biggest benefit because you can reach other agencies through its system. The information of the vehicle gets dumped off in 21 days. I think putting them in town and using them correctly, they are a benefit to everybody. If someone with Dementia walks off in the middle of the night, this could be a benefit to us. Or if somebody robs someone or anything like that. It's a benefit and another tool in toolbox to protect everyone in this town.

Councilman Johnson reiterates it's the business practices of Flock he doesn't like, not the camera and not our PD.

Chief Lovelace said ALPR technology is a wonderful product to have. Anybody can misuse anything. I will refer anything that has to do with the law to John Eller.

Vice Mayor Majure wanted to point out to John that the terms of conditions, section 4.1 data use and licensing between Flock and Customer: all right, title, and interest to customer data belong to customer, customer hereby grants to flock limited nonexclusive royalty free irrevocable perpetual worldwide license to use and disclose customer data to Flock services provided. To me it sounds like the data is ours, but Flock will always have access to our data.

John responded that if that conflicts with the Virginia Statutes, the statutes will override that. It also says customer data can be disclosed for two reasons not just anything they want to do. It must be to provide the services they offer to the customers, second to support and improve their products and services. It is not talking about selling the data. If Flock Willful discloses our data, it's a class one misdemeanor based on the statutes.

Vice Mayor questioned if the statute is in place now or just proposed.

John replied the statutes passed last year (2025). Some statutes were effective July 1, 2025, some became effective January 1, 2026. All the Virginia State Statute is in effect currently.

Vice Mayor Majure re-reshowed his power point presentation from the last meeting. Highlighting:

- Flock is the ALPR with the most critical security vulnerability's
- Police credentials have been sold on the dark web
- Flock uses systems that are no longer supported with updates
- Clear text credentials are used by Flock between device and server
- 60 cameras have been found accessible from a search engine to live stream
- He questions do cameras really help decrease crime rates

- Surveillance is done on all citizens with the thought that it would reduce crime with no evidence that it does reduce crime- Vice Mayor has a problem with this
- HEAT Grant is talking about removing Flock from the eligibility list
- We need to think about a different vendor stating above information again
- Privacy Policy of Flock is bad compared to others
- A switch was flipped in the state of California to say to allow 3rd party sharing and no one knows how the switch was changed. Flock is in court battles in the state of California over this issue.

Vice Mayor said the town needs a written public policy in place if we go to another service for the ALPR systems listing uses, data retention, access controls, and require mandatory multi-factor identification on devices for all accesses. If we have an employee who is let go, we need to deactivate access before the person is let go. Regular audits should be conducted. Complaints should be handled internally. River trail should be contacted immediately should we have any security incidents taking place. Vice Mayor prefers to stop using the systems in town completely.

Chief Lovelace addressed some of the concerns Vice Mayor mentioned above. The town does have a policy in place. A model policy was received from the Virginia State Police. Chief Lovelace has already begun working on the policy converting our current one. Town PD is already using multi-factor verification.

John questioned if there was any way to verify the statements that were made above are true. The sources weren't listed. Chief received a statement from Flock that they have never been hacked. It may not be as bad as your sources Bob, and it may not be as good as Flock's sources. It is not an emergency that we need to shut the cameras off. We do need to look at other vendors and see what their rules are. Chief responded to council as well that he is reaching out to apply for another grant, so he can check with other vendors to see what their policies and procedures are. John remarked if Flock gets removed from the HEAT Grant it will solve all the problems. Maybe Axiom will offer us a discount for multiple services.

Vice Mayor Majure made a motion to immediately deactivate the Flock cameras and not move forward with ALPR systems in the town of Hurt.

Councilman Worley questioned how much of his information can a hacker get from a stolen license plate capture. Vice Mayor Majure responded to him that you can purchase a lot of information on the internet. If you have an officer's stolen credentials, you request all information off that license plate number. John questioned the sources of Vice Mayor Majure's information. We need to be able to prove this information is accurate. I see that some sources come from YouTube. I also see you mentioned a couple of colleges. Majure responded to John that House.gov is a good reliable source and they have urged FTC to investigate surveillance tech companies over the protection of citizens personal data.

John said FTC has been urged to investigate. We are going to investigate other companies here in the town as well. Saying someone is going to investigate, does that mean something is there or does it mean that they are checking to see if something is there? Investigate means find out. It doesn't mean it is there. How does this headline show there is something that Flock did?

John questioned if the motion to deactivate the camera and never use ALPR should be two motions to which Councilman Poindexter responded, it should be because it's two separate issues.

Councilman Poindexter stated to council, this issue has become very muddy. There are legitimate concerns on both sides. It will be very easy to make a mistake despite the best of intentions. We should definitely look for another vendor. I believe we should continue to have this service in place to help law enforcement and victims of crime. We are very late in the terms of this contract with Flock. There are

only a few more months left in the contract. We can let this contract expire and talk about whether we want to turn them off tomorrow. Let's get a plan in place to replace what we have now with something better instead of letting it fall by the wayside.

Mayor asked councilmember Bond if she had anything to add.

Councilmember Bond responded, that from her research, she's a proponent of the system whether it's Flock or not. The data that's being talked about is a picture of a license plate number and the vehicle. To get personal information, someone would have to go through DMV. DMV would have to be hacked. None of us have access to that site. Why is it so egregious to have a data system. The social security system was hacked last year, and 300,000 American's information was made available to hackers. That's egregious. I don't see how the picture of a license plate number and a driver is egregious. I think we need some system. Doesn't have to be Flock.

Vice Mayor Majure responded to Councilmember Bond, every system you have put your license plate information in, is at risk of being hacked, it's not just DMV where someone can get personal information.

Mayor pointed out that everyone in the room has data scattered all over the web. We are already exposed every day.

Vice Mayor stated, "Why would we as a town; allow the potential of our citizens to be hacked?"

Mayor responded the keyword is "potential". Councilman Poindexter pointed out how big is the potential? The potential is always nonzero.

Councilman Johnson talked about the experience his wife had from Outer Banks, NC. For about 8 months, people kept trying to collect from the very same ticket from different websites. After she responded the ticket had already been paid, the emails would just disappear. DMV is not the only place to get hacked for license plate information. Just like Chief he wants to protect people in this town from cybercrimes. I don't like how this company (Flock) does business because there are too many grey areas and loopholes.

Mayor asked Councilman Johnson if that information not liked came from internet influencers. Councilman Johnson responded to the mayor; it is in the papers given to council by John. Flock said they use 3rd parties and they are not responsible for what the 3rd parties do.

Councilman Harding shared about the email hack he received from someone pretending to be a Town of Hurt member asking him for money. Where did this come from, he asked?

Chief Lovelace responded to Councilman Harding that any open-source information you can be found on the internet. Phone book information is all open-source data. The email Councilman Harding received is a scam that is going around daily.

Mayor asked council what they wanted to do.

Chief Lovelace referred to lawsuits that went against Flock Camera and were dismissed in Circuit Court. John remarked this took place in Norfolk, Va.

Vice Mayor Majure made a motion to immediately deactivate the Flock cameras and not move forward with ALPR Systems in the town of Hurt seconded by Councilman Johnson. Vice Mayor withdrew the

motion to immediately deactivate the Flock cameras and not move forward with ALPR in the town of Hurt for the motions to be two separate motions.

Council Actions Taken Vice Mayor Majure made a motion to deactivate the Flock Cameras immediately seconded by Councilman Johnson. Yes, votes were Councilmen Worley, Johnson, Majure and Poindexter. No votes were Councilmembers Harding and Bond. Council voted in favor 4-2 to immediately deactivate the Flock Cameras in the town of Hurt.

Councilman Poindexter made a motion to direct the police department to find an alternative system to replace the Flock camera system, within a reasonable time, seconded by Councilmember Bond. Yes votes were Councilmembers Worley, Harding, Bond and Poindexter. No votes were Councilmen Johnson and Majure. Council voted in favor 4-2 to direct the police department to find an alternative system to replace the Flock camera system within a reasonable time.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

There were none scheduled for this meeting.

RECOGNITIONS & PRESENTATIONS

Paul Watlington was recognized for achieving his Class 5 Waterworks Operator License. He was awarded \$1,000.00 bonus for completing the licensing.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to discuss, Mayor Hodnett adjourned this meeting at 9:25 pm.

- Next Monthly Business Meeting April 7, 2026.